#### Evaluation of fungicides for their efficacy against Phomopsis stem canker of sunflower



**Renan Guidini**<sup>1</sup>, Nathan Braun<sup>1</sup>, Sam Markell<sup>2</sup>, Bob Harveson<sup>3</sup>, and Febina Mathew<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup> South Dakota State University, Agronomy, Horticulture, and Plant Science Department, Brookings, SD 57007; <sup>2</sup> North Dakota State University, Plant Pathology Department, Fargo, ND 58102. <sup>3</sup> University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Panhandle Research and Extension Center, Scottsbluff, NE 69361



#### OUTLINE

- Introduction = Phomopsis stem canker
- Research
  - Objective
  - Experiment
  - Summary
  - Future work



# **PHOMOPSIS STEM CANKER**

- An economically important disease of sunflower
- Yield losses of 40%; Oil reduced by 10-15% (Mathew et al 2015)
- Caused by several species of *Diaporthe*
  - *D. helianthi* and *D. gulyae* = predominant in the U.S.



#### **DISEASE MANAGEMENT**

- Cultural practices
- Genetic Resistance
- Chemical control: fungicides



# **PREVIOUS RESEARCH**

 Study by Debaeke and Estragnat (2003) in Europe found that a single fungicide application at the early bud stages resulted in fewer infected plants

 Study by Olson (2017) found the highest yield occurred with a single application at R1



# **RESEARCH OBJECTIVE**

 Compare the efficacy of the fungicides against Phomopsis stem canker at R1 growth stage



- Felt Farm, Brookings SD
- A susceptible hybrid (CHS genetics)
- Randomized complete block design
- 18 treatments and non-treated control, 4 replications per treatment



- Plot size: 4 rows spaced at 30 inches, 20 feet in length
- Population: 18.000 plants/acres
- Application at R1 growth stages



Fungicides rates were applied according to the label recommendation

 Applied with a CO<sub>2</sub> powered backpack sprayer (Model T4, R&D Sprayers, Opelousas, LA)



 1.5 m boom equipped with four TeeJet (Spraying Systems Co., Wheaton, IL) flat fan nozzle tips spaced 0.51 m apart

 Spray pressure of 40 psi and approximately 30 gallons water per acre



Treatments	
1 - Non treated control	11 - Zolera FX 4.4 oz/a FRAC 11 + 3
2 - Headline 6 oz/a FRAC 11	12 - Trivapro 13.7 oz/a FRAC 3 + 7 + 11
3 - Aproach 6 oz/a FRAC 11	13 - Aproach Prima 6.8 oz/a FRAC 11 + 3
4 - Quadris 6 oz/a FRAC 11	14 - BAS 75007F 3.5 oz/a FRAC 3
5 - Priaxor 4 oz/a FRAC 7 + 11	15 - BAS 75007F 5 oz/a FRAC 3
6 - Luna 9 oz/a FRAC 7 + 9	16 - BAS 75106F 7 oz/a FRAC 11 + 3
7 - Luna 12.8 oz/a FRAC 7 + 9	17 - BAS 75106F 10 oz/a FRAC 11 + 3
8 - Miravis NEO 13.7 oz/a FRAC 7	18 - BAS 75303F 8 oz/a FRAC 11 + 3 + 7
9 - Lucento 5 oz/a FRAC 7 + 3	19 - BAS 75303F 10 oz/a FRAC 11 + 3 + 7

10 - Sovran 3.2 oz/a FRAC 11

All fungicides applied with adjuvant INDUCE

7







#### **DISEASE EVALUATION**

 Disease severity was evaluated by plot at R6- R7 using scale 0 to 5 (Mathew et al. 2015)

A total of 10 random plants on the two middle rows



# **DATA ANALYSES**

 Disease severity index (DSI) calculated by plot and yield data was analyzed in R.









SOUTH DAKOTA STATE UNIVERSITY College of Agriculture, Food and Environmental Sciences

Green box – Headline Yellow box – NTC

# **SUMMARY**

- Nine treatments had DSI significantly lower compared to the non-treated control
- Three treatments had yield significantly higher compared to the non-treated control
- Fungicides with FRAC 11 seems to be effective against Phomopsis stem canker



#### **SUMMARY**

Headline, had the lowest DSI and high yield

NTC(1375) – Headline(1938): 563 lbs/acre profit



of Agriculture, Food

# **FUTURE WORK**

 Evaluate these fungicides at multiple rates under field conditions in Nebraska, North Dakota, and South Dakota in 2020

 Determine the effect of fungicide mobility on *Diaporthe helianthi* and *D. gulyae* in the greenhouse



