

GREENHOUSE EVALUATION OF DIFFERENT FUNGICIDES AT MULTIPLE RATES TO CONTROL PHOMOPSIS HELIANTHI

Ruchika Sharma¹, Nathan Braun¹, Sam Markell², Bob Harveson³ and Febina Mathew¹

SOUTH DAKOTA STATE UNIVERSITY College of Agriculture, Food and Environmental Sciences

¹SDSU, DEPT. OF AGRONOMY, HORTICULTURE & PLANT SCIENCE, BROOKINGS, SD; ²NDSU, DEPT. OF PLANT PATHOLOGY, FARGO, ND; ³UNL- PANHANDLE RES. & EXT. CENTER, SCOTTSBLUFF, NE

INTRODUCTION



Source: Mathew et al. 2018

Phomopsis stem canker is a yield-limiting disease in the U.S.

Over 40% yield loss was reported in upper Midwest in 2010 (Mathew et al. 2015)

Diaporthe helianthi, D. gulyae and D. stewartii are reported in the U.S., out of the 20 species worldwide



MANAGEMENT

×

Limited disease management options

 \bigcirc

No commercial hybrids have complete resistance to Phomopsis stem canker

Crop rotation not effective as pathogen survives as endophyte on corn and wheat Therefore, fungicides may provide short-term solution to Phomopsis stem canker





PREVIOUS RESEARCH

- In Europe, protectant fungicides were used at R1 stage using conventional/ground-driven sprayers (Debaeke et al. 2003)
- In U.S., Olson (2017) observed higher yields in plots with a single application of pyraclostrobin at R1 growth stage



JUSTIFICATION

 Fungicides containing Qol or Qol premixes are effective against Phomopsis stem canker in the field (Guidini et al. 2020)

 A greenhouse study was thus planned to compare different rates of QoI and QoI premixes to control *Diaporthe helianthi*



RESEARCH OBJECTIVE

To evaluate the fungicide efficacy and specificity towards *Phomopsis helianthi* causing sunflower disease in the greenhouse



MATERIALS AND METHODS

- Treatments:
 - NTC
 - NTC + NIS (Induce)
 - Headline (FRAC 11) EC (1.5, 2.8, 4.5 ml/0.5 liter)
 - Approach Prima (11 + 3) SC (0.85, 1.7, 2.5 ml/ 0.5 liter)
 - BAS 75303F (3 + 7+ 11) SC (2, 2.5, 2.8 ml/0.5 liter)
 - BAS 75106F (3 + 11) SC (1.75, 2.5, 3.1 ml/0.5 liter)
- Experimental design: CRD, 3 pots per treatment (2 plants in each pot), experiment performed twice



MATERIALS AND METHODS

- Susceptible hybrid (CHS genetics)
- Treatments sprayed @ R1 using a hand-held pump sprayer before inoculation.
- Plants wounded using a SD isolate of *D. helianthi*







Spraying technique



R1 stage – Bud initiation stage (the miniature floral head appears at the top)



MATERIALS AND METHODS

- Greenhouse conditions
- Disease evaluated @ 14 days post-inoculation using 0-5 rating scale
- Data analyzed using nonparametric statistics



RTE calculated



















INTERNAL BROWNING









RESULTS

 Few treatments were examined in the field for their efficacy against Phomopsis stem canker by Guidini et al. (2020)

 Correlation between the greenhouse and field experiments were non-significant (r = 0.72; P = 0.0658)



SUMMARY

- Fungicides containing QoI (by itself or as premix) were determined to effective against *Diaporthe helianthi*
- Multiple rates
 - Headline rates 2.8 and 4.5 ml/0.5 liter were significantly different from each other



SUMMARY

 Qol (e.g. pyraclostrobin) by itself was a strong treatment in the study.

 This suggests that QoI possibly contributes the most to the efficacy in the combination fungicide products.



FUTURE WORK

 Evaluate these fungicides at multiple rates under field conditions in Nebraska, North Dakota, and South Dakota

Determine the sensitivity of D. helianthi and D. gulyae to Qol (FRAC 11), triazoles (FRAC 3) and SDHI (FRAC 7) fungicides in vitro





