Benefits of Insect Pollination to Confection Sunflowers: Comparisons across two years, three states, and multiple hybrids

Rachel Mallinger, Jarrad Prasifka, USDA-ARS Fargo ND Adam Varenhorst SDSU Jeff Bradshaw, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Panhandle Res. & Ext. Center

Benefits of pollinators to sunflower production

- Wild sunflowers: self-incompatible
- Domesticated sunflowers
 - Seed production: require pollinators
 - Confection and oilseed production: require pollinators?
 - Breeding for self-fertility
 - Potential yield increase with insect

pollination



Benefits of pollinators to sunflower production

- Variation across plant genotypes
 - Self-compatibility: complex, allelic variation, multiple loci (Gandhi et al 2005, Sun et al 2012)
 - Selfing rates vary with plant morphology (Gandhi et al 2005, Griffiths and Erickson 1983)

Variation across environments

- Selfing rates vary with growing conditions (DeGrandi-Hoffman and Chambers 2006, Vaknin et al 2008)
- Pollinator abundance and diversity vary across locations (DeGrandi-Hoffman and Chambers 2006)



Sunflower Pollinators

- Managed honey bees
 - Non-native generalists
- Wild bees
 - ~4,000 species in NA
 - 400+ species on sunflowers
 - Specialists of sunflower
- Best pollinators depends on:
 - Abundance
 - Visitation rates
 - Bee body size
 - Foraging behavior



Research Goals

- 1. Pollinator benefits to confection sunflowers
 - Variation across 10 hybrids
 - Variation across 3 states
- 2. Which pollinators are the most effective?



- 1. Pollinator benefits to confections
- 10 commercial hybrids in ND, NE, and SD
- 2 years: 2016 and 2017
- Insect-exclusion treatments (bagged, openpollination)
- Seed mass per flower head (yield)
 - Closed heads (self-fertility)
 - Differences between open/closed heads (pollinator benefits)
- Pollinator visitation rates
 - Do more visits result in greater yield?



2016 results

Pollinators significantly increased yields (T: P < 0.001)

But variation across hybrids and environments (T*H: P< 0.001, T*S: P < 0.001)



2017 results

Pollinators significantly increased yields (T: P < 0.001)

Sig variation across hybrids (T*H: P = 0.001)

No sig variation across states (T*S: P = 0.52)



Pollinators significantly increased yields (Treat: P <0.001)

But variation across plant varieties (Treat*Var: P = 0.001)

No sig variation across states (Treat*State: P = 0.52)

35 % increase, 6 hybrids sig

34 % increase, 9 hybrids sig

31 % increase, 6 hybrids sig

What explains variation in pollinator benefits?

- 1. Plant self-fertility
 - Maximum seed set with self-pollination alone
- 2. Pollinator visitation rates

Pollinator benefits decrease with self-fertility and increase with bee visitation rates in 2016



** Best-fit model contains both variables

Pollinator benefits decrease with self-fertility but not affected by bee visitation rates in 2017



** Best-fit model contains only self-fertility indicator

2. Which pollinators are the most effective?

- Efficacy = frequency*per-visit efficacy
- Frequency = Pollinator visitation rates
- Per-visit efficacy = Seed mass per single visit to CMS flowers
 - Bagged heads
 - Remove bags and wait for a single visit
 - Re-bag heads, harvest, total seed mass
- 2016 and 2017, frequency (all states) and per-visit efficacy (ND)



Large-bodied solitary bees most frequent

- 1. Large-bodied solitary bees (n = 717)
 - 1. Melissodes agilis, M. trinodis, Andrena helianthi, Svastra obliqua
- 2. Bumble bees (n=83)
 - 1. Bombus ternarius, B. griseocolis, B. impatiens
- 3. Small-bodied bees (48)
 - 1. Dufourea marginata
- 4. Green sweat bees (7)
- 5. Honey bees (4)





Conclusions

- Confection sunflowers benefit from insect pollination
- Pollinator benefits vary across genotypes and environments
 - Plant self-fertility
 - Different pollinator visitation rates
- Large-bodied solitary bees most effective pollinators
 - Andrena helianthi
 - Females more effective than males
 - Managed honey bees infrequent visitors



Acknowledgements

- NSA for funding
- Jamie Miller-Dunbar, Zoe Portlas, and Lisa Brown, USDA-ARS, Fargo, ND
- Phil Rozeboom, SDSU
- Susan Harvey, Rick Patrick, University of Nebraska-Lincoln



