Advances towards a Marker Assisted Selection (MAS) breeding program in sunflower for Sclerotinia disease resistance

Zahirul Talukder¹, Brent Hulke², Lili Qi², and Thomas Gulya² ¹Department of Plant Sciences, NDSU ²USDA-ARS Northern Crop Science Laboratory Fargo, North Dakota





Introduction

- Sclerotinia sclerotiorum causes two serious diseases in sunflower
 - stalk rot, incited by root infection (unique to sunflower), and head rot, caused by airborne ascospores
 - genetics of resistance is different for the two diseases
 - Resistance is polygenic, no major resistant gene is known
 - Resistance breeding relies on incorporating genetic factors from various partially-tolerant breeding lines



Goal of the project

- Identification of DNA markers associated with the Sclerotinia disease resistance
- Develop an integrated MAS breeding program for sunflower using high throughput SNP markers associated with resistance to Sclerotinia and other diseases, and also to important agronomic and oil traits



Mapping approach

Linkage disequilibrium (LD) based Association Mapping

Advantages

- no need to develop mapping population
- exploits historical and evolutionary recombination events at the population level
- mapping resolution is higher than bi-parental mapping population of the same size

Disadvantages

 suffer from risk of incurring false positives due to population structure and kinship among individuals





Association Mapping

- Two approaches
 - Candidate-Gene Association (CGA) Mapping
 - alleles at a few selected functional candidate genes thought to be involved in controlling the trait of interest may be tested for association
 - Genome-Wide Association (GWA) Mapping
 - whole genome may be scanned to identify markers that are associated with a particular phenotype
- Candidate-Gene Association Mapping study is more hypothesis-driven than a Genome-Wide study



Materials and Methods

Stalk rot AM population	Head rot AM population				
Total sunflower lines = 260	Total sunflower lines = 230				
Plant introductions (Pls) = 249 Elite USDA inbred lines = 11	Plant introductions (Pls) = 196 Elite USDA inbred lines = 34				
Inoculated field trials in 2008 & 2009	Inoculated field trials in 2011 & 2012				
Total dataset = 4	Total dataset = 3				
All field trials were conducted in a 'sets-in-rens' field design with 2 rens					

Car 270 (susceptible) & Croplan 305 (resistant) hybrids were used as checks





Candidate Gene Association Mapping

- Six Arabidopsis thaliana defense genes :
 - ABI1 (ABA Insensitive 1), and
 - ABI2 (ABA Insensitive 2) -involved in abscisic acid (ABA) signal transduction
 - COI1 (Coronatine Insensitive 1) jasmonate receptor
 - **DET3** (De-Etiolated 3) involved in oxalic acid signaling
 - EIN2 (Ethylene Insensitive 2) central regulator of ethylene signaling, and
 - LACS2 (Long-chain Acyl-CoA Synthetase 2) involved in cutin biosynthesis pathway
- Primer design:
 - Searched candidate gene sequences in the NCBI EST database for sunflower EST
 - Sunflower ESTs with high e-value were used to search for contig assembly sequences in the Compositae Genome Project database
 - *Primer3* software was used to design primer from the contig sequences





Candidate Gene Association Mapping

- DNA extraction, PCR amplification & sequencing
- Sequence analysis and SNP survey:
 - DnaSP v.5.1 and SNiPlay softwares were used
- Population structure:
 - 135 SNP markers were used in *Structure* v.2.3.3 software
 - Kinship analysis:
 - 5244 SNP markers were used in SPAGeDi v1.3a software
- Linkage disequilibrium and Association mapping:
 - Haploview v.4.2 and TASSEL v.3.0 software were used for LD and association mapping analysis, respectively





Result: stalk rot CGAM



Figure 1. Quantile – quantile plots for both general linear model and mixed linear model for stalk rot association analysis

USDA OZS

NDSU NORTH DAKOTA STATE UNIVERSITY

Result: stalk rot CGAM

Association of candidate gene tagged SNP markers with stalk rot resistance using GLM+Q model

Candidate gene	Tag SNP	Alleles		Amino acid		3 Locations Mean			Davenport		
		Major	Minor	Major	Minor	<i>P</i> -value [†]	R ^{2 ‡}	Effect §	<i>P</i> -value [†]	R ^{2‡}	Effect §
HaABI1-2	HaABI1-2_32 HaABI1-2_155 HaABI1-2_163	G C G	C G A	Valine Proline Synonymous	Leucine Alanine 	0.999 0.746 0.658	0.36 0.70 1.40	-1.26 -3.65 -1.27	1.000 1.000 0.900	0.38 0.07 1.06	0.42 1.44 -1.75
HaCOI1-1	HaCOI1-1_251 HaCOI1-1_312	C A	A C	Asparagine Synonymous	Lysine 	<mark>0.009</mark> 0.998	4.52 0.43	-7.20 -2.23	0.495 <mark>0.379</mark>	2.00 2.30	-6.37 4.12
HaCOI1-2	HaCOI1-2_72 HaCOI1-2_408	G T	A C	Synonymous Synonymous	 	0.995 <mark>0.102</mark>	0.57 2.87	-1.81 -3.20	0.999 0.774	0.49 1.39	-2.03 -1.76
HaDAT3-1	HaDAT3-1_25	G	A	Methionine	Isoleucine	1.000	0.02	-0.27	0.972	0.80	-0.84
HaEIN2-1	HaEIN2-1_250	т	G	Serine	Alanine	0.999	0.46	-1.67	0.867	1.33	-3.36
HaEIN2-2	HaEIN2-2_208	с	т	Synonymous		0.999	0.34	0.99	0.979	0.78	-1.37

[†] *P*-value adjusted for multiple comparisons.

NDSU NORTH DAKOTA STATE UNIVERSITY

[‡] Marker R^2 , percent phenotypic variation explained by the marker in the population. [§]Effect of major allele accuming minor allele is assigned a value of zero.

[§]Effect of major allele assuming minor allele is assigned a value of zero.



Preliminary Result: head rot CGAM

Association of candidate gene tagged SNP markers with head rot resistance using GLM+Q model

Candidate	Tag SNP	Sabin 2011		Staples 2011		Staples 2012		3 Locations mean	
gene		P-value [†]	R 2 ‡	P-value	R ²	P-value	R ²	P-value	R ²
HaABI1-2	HaABI1-2_32 HaABI1-2_155 HaABI1-2_163	0.315 1.000 0.000	2.96 0.01 7.74	0.027 1.000 0.176	5.54 0.06 3.68	0.672 0.952 <mark>0.096</mark>	2.16 0.67 4.31	0.068 1.000 0.008	4.59 0.05 6.52
HaCOI1-1	HaCOI1-1_251 HaCOI1-1_312	0.000 0.252	9.33 3.41	0.087 0.756	4.57 2.04	0.247 0.797	3.54 1.97	<mark>0.004</mark> 0.455	7.28 2.82
HaCOI1-2	HaCOI1-2_72 HaCOI1-2_408	1.000 0.653	0.40 2.11	0.999 0.999	0.52 0.69	1.000 0.668	0.52 2.23	1.000 0.657	0.17 2.20
HaDAT3-1	HaDAT3-1_25	0.000	11.50	0.006	7.15	0.035	5.40	0.000	10.16
HaEIN2-1	HaEIN2-1_250	0.997	0.88	0.540	2.71	0.808	2.03	0.808	1.96
HaEIN2-2	HaEIN2-2_208	0.000	10.73	0.037	5.25	0.001	9.07	0.000	11.24

[†] *P*-value adjusted for multiple comparisons.

[‡] Marker R^2 , percent phenotypic variation explained by the marker in the population.



NDSU NORTH DAKOTA STATE UNIVERSITY

Genome-Wide Association mapping

- ~ 8700 SNP markers used for genotyping the AM population
 - so far we mapped 5017 SNP markers in 17 LG of sunflower
 - markers with more than 20% missing data were removed from analysis
 - non-polymorphic marker data were removed
 - both mapped and non-mapped markers were used in the analysis
- Both TASSEL and ProcGLMselect of SAS were used for analysis
 - Q matrix from Structure analysis was used as fixed effect in the model





Preliminary Result: stalk rot GWAM



Figure 2. Manhattan plot showing genome-wide *P*-values of the GLM+Q model from TASSEL. x axis shows the SNPs along each chromosome; y axis is the $-\log 10$ (*P*-value) for the association. Red colored line is the threshold for *P*-value after Bonferroni multiple test correction and the blue line is the threshold for comparisonwise *P*-value. Blue dots indicate significant markers picked by Proc GLMselect analysis in SAS

Summary table of Genome-Wide AM of Sclerotinia stalk rot						
Marker	LG	сM	ΔR^2			
NSA003891	2	31.736	1.40			
NSA005976	3	40.114	9.34			
NSA007993		22.957	1.91			
NSA005541	4	54.004	2.42			
NSA005804		54.004	1.02			
NSA006292	5	56.402	2.27			
NSA004993	8	38.565	1.81			
NSA008453	9	91.991	2.74			
NSA004925	10	26.141	1.16			
NSA005041		35.601	2.81			
NSA004719	12	58.567	0.92			
NSA006925		65.238	2.24			
NSA007693	12	38.811	0.78			
NSA008273	15	47.040	2.32			
NSA005691	14	5.443	1.15			
NSA003499	14	22.377	1.41			
NSA002234	15	27.781	2.61			
NSA004523	10	84.847	1.83			
NSA006011	16	49.238	4.84			
NSA003656	10	49.716	1.48			
NSA006181	17	41.462	2.54			
NSA005872	17	47.154	1.40			
NSA001411		-	3.63			
NSA001682		-	0.29			
NSA002808		-	0.69			
NSA003872		-	1.20			
NSA005952	Un-mapped	-	0.57			
NSAUU/386		-	0.94			
		-	0.73			
NSAUU09/U NSAUU09/U		-	0.92			
	wience eveloined buell	- 21 significant markers -	5.21			

Genetic variance explained by all 31 significant markers





Preliminary Result: Head rot GWAM



Figure 3. Manhattan plot showing genome-wide *P*-values from the MLM model. x axis shows the SNPs along each chromosome; y axis is the -log10 (*P*-value) for the association. Red colored line is the threshold for *P*-value after Bonferroni multiple test correction and the blue line is the threshold for comparisonwise *P*-value



NDSU NORTH DAKOTA STATE UNIVERSITY

Preliminary Result: Head rot GWAM

Summary table of Genome-Wide AM of Sclerotinia head rot

Marker	LG	сМ	<i>P</i> -value	R^2
NSA006224	1	9.372	8.26E-05	8.00
NSA001362	4	50.009	2.19E-05	9.07
NSA004172 NSA007253 NSA007975 NSA004390 NSA002571	10	1.530 1.530 39.058 42.423 45.505	2.07E-05 5.53E-05 9.28E-05 3.04E-06 1.08E-06	10.54 9.88 7.56 12.30 12.24
NSA004092	16	71.926	2.16E-05	9.52
NSA000436 NSA006427	17	42.329 47.154	2.95E-05 1.30E-04	8.91 7.63
NSA004113 NSA004248 NSA008736 NSA009919	Un-mapped	- - - -	1.02E-04 1.11E-04 8.31E-06 9.58E-05	7.29 7.33 10.58 7.32





Future Plans

- Complete GW-AM of Stalk rot resistance
- Continue working on association mapping of Head rot resistance and Phomopsis resistance
- Start working on GW-AM for important agronomic and oil traits





ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

- National Sclerotinia Initiative
- National Sunflower Association
- •The authors thank following individuals for their technical assistance
 - Angelia Hogness Leanne Matthiesen Dana Weiskopf
- Megan Ramsett Nikolay Balbyshev Arun Jani



